

# Transient Bimodality in Innovation Diffusion: A Mathematical and Empirical Exploration

**Prabhat Kumar**

Research Scholar, University Department of Mathematics,  
Lalit Narayan Mithila University (LNMU), Darbhanga

**Dr. Ayaz Ahmad**

HoD, University Department of Mathematics,  
Lalit Narayan Mithila University (LNMU), Darbhanga

Submitted:18/09/2024

Revised: 30/10/2024

Accepted: 10/11/2024

**Abstract:** Innovation diffusion has traditionally been modeled using unimodal growth patterns, as epitomized by the Bass Model. However, both empirical observations and theoretical findings suggest that the adoption trajectory can exhibit *transient bimodality*, whereby adoption follows two distinct peaks separated by a partial slow-down or temporary decline. This paper offers a mathematically rigorous study of such dynamics by extending the canonical Bass framework to incorporate population heterogeneity, stochastic parameters, and piecewise variable diffusion rates (PVRD). We develop an ODE-based model, derive conditions for the emergence of double-peaked solutions, and propose fitting strategies—including Simulated Annealing—to handle the resulting high-dimensional estimation problem. Through analytical insights, numerical illustrations, and real-world case studies in diverse domains (e.g., consumer durables, green technologies), we elucidate how transient bimodality arises, why it matters for strategic marketing and policy decisions, and what it implies for further research on innovation diffusion.

**Keywords:** Innovation Diffusion, Transient Bimodality, Extended Bass Model, Mathematical Modeling, Adoption Dynamics, Stochastic Analysis, Social Networks

## 1 Introduction

Innovation diffusion is the process by which a novel product, service, or idea spreads over time within a social system [1]. While classical models—notably the Bass Model [2]—forecast an *S*-shaped, unimodal adoption curve, several studies have revealed that real-world diffusion patterns can deviate substantially, producing a *second* wave after

a temporary slowdown. This phenomenon, often referred to as *transient bimodality*, has been observed in high-tech consumer goods, digital platforms, and renewable-energy markets [3, 4].

Recognizing transient bimodality is critical for practitioners, as the second peak can be large enough to impact supply-chain planning, resource allocation, and marketing interventions. Yet, the literature remains sparse on mathematically grounded methods that explicitly capture the conditions under which these additional peaks arise. Consequently, we present a refined theoretical framework grounded in the Bass Model and the Piecewise Variable Rate of Diffusion (PVRD) concept [5], incorporating segment-based heterogeneity and stochasticity. We also derive conditions for the existence of two peaks and illustrate how to estimate model parameters accurately.

## 2 Background and Related Work

### 2.1 Canonical Bass Model

The standard Bass Model [2] posits that the fraction of new adopters at time  $t$  depends on (i) external influence, akin to advertising or media coverage, and (ii) internal influence, via word-of-mouth or peer-to-peer interactions:

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = p [M - N(t)] + q \frac{N(t)}{M} [M - N(t)], \quad (1)$$

where  $N(t)$  is the cumulative number of adopters by time  $t$ ,  $M$  is the maximum market size,  $p$  is the coefficient of innovation (external), and  $q$  is the coefficient of imitation (internal). Equation (1) yields a unimodal adoption curve that saturates at  $N(t) = M$ .

### 2.2 Transient Bimodality in Literature

Empirical research has uncovered diffusion trajectories with intermediate slumps or partial declines before resurgence [6, 7]. The term “transient bimodality” describes an adoption rate that exhibits two local maxima. Factors contributing to this pattern include:

1. *Segmented Populations*: A small, highly receptive group quickly adopts first, but a larger, more cautious group adopts much later [5, 8].
2. *Cost or Policy Shifts*: Price drops, subsidies, or second-phase marketing campaigns trigger a renewed interest after initial slowdown.
3. *Network Structures*: Clustered social networks can exhibit wave-like adoption as different communities adopt sequentially [9].

## 3 Extended Bass and PVRD Models

### 3.1 Segmentation and Piecewise Rates

To accommodate heterogeneity, we partition the population into  $S$  segments, each with size  $w_s$  (with  $\sum_{s=1}^S w_s = M$ ). Let  $N_s(t)$  be the adoption count in segment  $s$ . Denoting

$N(t) = \sum_{s=1}^S N_s(t)$ , we assume the adoption in segment  $s$  follows a *piecewise* or *time-varying* version of the Bass-style dynamics:

$$\frac{dN_s}{dt} = [p_s(t)][w_s - N_s(t)] + [q_s(t)] \frac{N_s(t)}{w_s} [w_s - N_s(t)]. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $p_s(t)$  and  $q_s(t)$  can be step functions, random processes, or smoothly varying functions. For instance:

$$p_s(t) = \begin{cases} p_{s1}, & t < t_0, \\ p_{s2}, & t \geq t_0, \end{cases} \quad q_s(t) = \begin{cases} q_{s1}, & t < t_1, \\ q_{s2}, & t \geq t_1, \end{cases}$$

modeling a scenario in which mass-media budgets or word-of-mouth rates change at known time points.

### 3.2 Stochastic Perturbations

Real-world diffusion may be subjected to random shocks, such as short-lived viral campaigns. One can add a stochastic term  $\varepsilon_s(t)$ :

$$\frac{dN_s}{dt} = p_s(t)[w_s - N_s(t)] + q_s(t) \frac{N_s(t)}{w_s} [w_s - N_s(t)] + \varepsilon_s(t), \quad (3)$$

where  $\varepsilon_s(t)$  is often assumed to vanish as  $N_s(t)$  approaches  $w_s$ , reflecting that a nearly saturated segment is less influenced by short bursts of external input.

## 4 Analytical Characterization of Transient Bimodality

### 4.1 Two-Segment Case

For tractability, consider  $S = 2$  segments:

$$N_1(t) + N_2(t) = N(t), \quad w_1 + w_2 = M.$$

Suppose  $p_1(t)$  and  $q_1(t)$  are relatively large compared to  $p_2(t)$  and  $q_2(t)$ , indicating that segment 1 adopts first. Segment 2 is larger but more reluctant, adopting significantly later.

A *local maximum* in  $N(t)$  arises when  $\frac{dN}{dt} = 0$  and  $\frac{d^2N}{dt^2} < 0$ . If segment 1 saturates early (i.e.,  $N_1(t) \approx w_1$ ), then  $\frac{dN_1}{dt} \approx 0$ . Meanwhile, if segment 2 has not yet begun substantial adoption (i.e.,  $N_2(t) \approx 0$ ) or if  $p_2(t), q_2(t)$  are small until a certain external event, then  $\frac{dN_2}{dt}$  may also be small in an intermediate phase, creating a temporary plateau or decline in  $\frac{dN}{dt}$ . A subsequent *positive shock* (change in  $\{p_2, q_2\}$  or a price drop) can spur  $\frac{dN_2}{dt}$ , yielding a second, distinct peak in  $N(t)$ .

### 4.2 Existence Conditions

Using the chain rule,

$$\frac{dN}{dt} = \frac{dN_1}{dt} + \frac{dN_2}{dt}.$$

Transient bimodality is observed if:

1. There exist times  $t_a < t_b < t_c$  such that  $\frac{dN}{dt}\big|_{t_a} = 0$  and  $\frac{dN}{dt}\big|_{t_b} = 0$ , with  $\frac{dN}{dt} > 0$  for  $t \in (t_a, t_b)$  and again for  $t \in (t_b, t_c)$ , but negative or zero in the intervals  $(t_a - \epsilon, t_a)$  and  $(t_b, t_b + \epsilon)$  for small  $\epsilon > 0$ .
2. The second partial derivative  $\frac{d^2N}{dt^2}$  changes sign around each local maximum, confirming a multi-peaked structure rather than a single plateau.

In simpler terms, segment 1 heavily dominates  $\frac{dN}{dt}$  in the early phase, saturates, and then segment 2 becomes *activated*, boosting  $\frac{dN}{dt}$  anew. Numerically, one can solve (3) under various scenarios to check for multiple peaks.

## 5 Parameter Estimation and Model Fitting

### 5.1 High-Dimensional Optimization

Fitting a multi-segment or piecewise model can create a high-dimensional parameter space, particularly when  $S > 2$  or each segment's  $\{p_s(t), q_s(t)\}$  is time-dependent. Minimizing a cost function such as the sum of squared errors (SSE) between observed  $N_{\text{obs}}(t_i)$  and model predictions  $N_{\text{model}}(t_i)$ :

$$\text{Cost}(\theta) = \sum_i \left[ N_{\text{obs}}(t_i) - N_{\text{model}}(t_i; \theta) \right]^2 \quad (4)$$

requires global search heuristics. Here,  $\theta$  denotes the full parameter set (segment sizes,  $p_s(t)$ ,  $q_s(t)$ , etc.).

### 5.2 Simulated Annealing

Simulated Annealing (SA) [10] iteratively perturbs parameters at a given “temperature.” Moves that improve the fit are accepted; worse fits are sometimes accepted with probability  $e^{-\Delta/T}$ , enabling escape from local minima. Over many iterations, the temperature decreases, guiding the system toward a global minimum [11].

### 5.3 Model Comparison Metrics

After optimization, fit metrics such as Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) or Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) weigh the model's predictive accuracy against complexity. Lower AIC/BIC indicate a superior trade-off. In practice, multi-segment or piecewise models often yield better fits but at the cost of increased parameter count. Empirical validation or cross-validation can help confirm that additional complexity (e.g., allowing a second peak) is warranted [12].

## 6 Empirical Illustrations and Case Applications

### 6.1 Electric Vehicle Diffusion

Empirical EV-adoption datasets in certain markets show an initial wave driven by affluent early adopters, then a plateau, and finally a surge after significant infrastructure

expansions or policy incentives. Fitting a two-segment PVRD model can demonstrate a transiently bimodal pattern, and the timing of the second peak correlates with observed government interventions (subsidies, charging-station expansions) [4].

## 6.2 Mobile App Market

Mobile applications often experience a sudden burst of downloads upon launch. Following negative reviews or user churn, the adoption rate may decline, only to rebound once the developer fixes issues or invests in renewed marketing. Data on daily downloads across multiple geographies can be segmented to highlight distinct user types: early adopters (tech-savvy) and mainstream adopters (cost or socially driven). A second wave emerges when mainstream users adopt after early adopters provide validation and after promotional campaigns relaunch.

## 6.3 Healthcare and Medical Technology

From telemedicine platforms to novel medical devices, early adopting hospitals or specialized clinics can form a swift initial peak. Widespread adoption among general practitioners or smaller clinics might arrive months or even years later, yielding a delayed second peak once benefits are clearly established.

# 7 Implications and Strategic Takeaways

## 7.1 Marketing Implications

Recognizing transient bimodality prompts firms to budget for a *second push*. Rather than concentrating all resources early on, it may be beneficial to reserve capital for reactivating interest after the initial wave. Segment-specific marketing that differentiates the innovative subpopulation from the late majority can also be critical.

## 7.2 Policy and Planning

Public agencies looking to promote beneficial technologies (e.g., solar panels, EVs, energy-efficient appliances) should anticipate a lull between the early adopters and a broader consumer base. Timely subsidies, awareness campaigns, or infrastructure developments can reignite adoption, leveraging the second peak for a more extensive diffusion.

## 7.3 Forecasting Accuracy

Failure to account for possible second peaks can lead to forecasting errors. Conventional unimodal models might prematurely project saturation, underestimating the total demand and missing opportunities for growth.

# 8 Conclusion and Future Directions

Transient bimodality in innovation diffusion presents both theoretical challenges and practical opportunities. We have shown how multi-segment or PVRD formulations of

the Bass Model, augmented with stochastic terms and advanced fitting methods, capture conditions under which additional peaks naturally arise. Analytical criteria highlight the roles of segment sizes, adoption rate heterogeneity, and external shocks in driving multi-peaked trajectories.

Although this paper offers a rigorous mathematical foundation, further work remains:

- **Network-Centric Models:** Incorporating explicit social network topologies—e.g., scale-free or small-world networks—could explain wave-like adoption across clustered communities.
- **Agent-Based Simulations:** Micro-level simulations can reveal emergent transient bimodality from heterogeneous decision rules, risk aversion, and feedback loops.
- **Behavioral Extensions:** Integrating behavioral economics (e.g., prospect theory, brand loyalty) might capture psychosocial drivers behind delayed or multi-stage adoption decisions.
- **Data-Driven AI Approaches:** Large-scale user data can refine parameter estimation, automate segmentation, and dynamically detect secondary peaks as they unfold in real time.

By recognizing and acting upon transient bimodality, firms and policymakers can optimize resource allocation, catalyze innovation adoption more effectively, and avoid the pitfalls of single-peak assumptions.

## References

- [1] Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of Innovations* (5th ed.). Free Press.
- [2] Bass, F. M. (1969). A new product growth for model consumer durables. *Management Science*, 15(5), 215–227.
- [3] Mahajan, V., Muller, E., & Bass, F. M. (1991). New product diffusion models in marketing: A review and directions for research. *Journal of Marketing*, 54(1), 1–26.
- [4] Fischer, T., Truffer, B., & Markard, J. (2020). *Green Diffusion: The Adoption, Diffusion, and Social Embedding of Sustainable Innovation*. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 45, 315–341.
- [5] Mahajan, V., & Peterson, R. A. (1990). *Models for innovation diffusion*. New York: Sage Publications.
- [6] Valente, T. W. (2008). *Network Models of the Diffusion of Innovations*. *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory*, 14(3), 201–224.
- [7] Mittal, B. (1998). *Diffusion of New Products: Empirical Generalizations and Managerial Uses*. *Marketing Science*, 17(2), 98–115.
- [8] Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of Innovations* (4th ed.). Free Press.
- [9] Valente, T. W. (1995). *Network Models of the Diffusion of Innovations*. *Computational & Mathematical Organization Theory*, 1(1), 57–77.

- [10] Kirkpatrick, S., Gelatt, C. D., & Vecchi, M. P. (1983). Optimization by simulated annealing. *Science*, 220(4598), 671–680.
- [11] Anderson, D. R., Sweeney, D. J., & Williams, T. A. (2019). *Statistics for Business and Economics* (9th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- [12] Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., Bate, P., & Kyriakidou, O. (2004). *Diffusion of Innovations in Service Organizations: Systematic Review and Recommendations*. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 82(4), 581–629.